UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,

v. _Rigoberto Maneras Aramhulo_, Defendant.

Case Number _CR-10-mj-70244PVT_

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing was held on _4/8/10_. Defendant was present, represented by his attorney _N. Humy_. The United States was represented by Assistant U.S. Attorney _D. Paxton_

PART I. PRESUMPTIONS APPLICABLE

/ / The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and the defendant has been convicted of a prior offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) while on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense, and a period of not more than five (5) years has elapsed since the date of conviction or the release of the person from imprisonment, whichever is later.

This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.

/ / There is probable cause based upon (the indictment) (the facts found in Part IV below) to believe that the defendant has committed an offense

    A.   ___   for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq., § 951 et seq., or § 955a et seq., OR

    B.   ___   under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c): use of a firearm during the commission of a felony.

This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.

/X/ No presumption applies.

PART II. REBUTTAL OF PRESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE

/ / The defendant has not come forward with sufficient evidence to rebut the applicable presumption[s], and he therefore will be ordered detained.

/ / The defendant has come forward with evidence to rebut the applicable presumption[s] to wit: _____

Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the United States.

PART III. PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR INAPPLICABLE)

/X/ The United States has proved to a preponderance of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required, AND/OR

/ / The United States has proved by clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.

PART IV. WRITTEN FINDINGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION

/X/ The Court has taken into account the factors set out in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information submitted at the hearing and finds as follows: _The defendant is charged with a violation of 8 USC § 1326. He is undocumented. He has prior convictions involving drugs and two convictions for under the influence of alcohol. His substance abuse problems can affect his ability to comply with court orders._

/ / Defendant, his attorney, and the AUSA have waived written findings.

PART V. DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate to the extent practicable from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on the request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Dated: _4/12/10_

Patricia V. Trumbull
PATRICIA V. TRUMBULL
United States Magistrate Judge

Filed
APR 1 2 2010
RICHARD W. WIEKING
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE